

1. IN-CAMERA SESSION
2. CALL TO ORDER
3. ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Proposed Motion: That the agenda be adopted (as presented) or (as amended).

C. Board of Education Strategic Direction Items

1. [School Viability Review - Arborfield](#)
2. [Notice of School Review](#)

4. ADJOURNMENT



NESD Board of Education

Meeting Date: September 29, 2022

Topic: School Viability Review

MEETING	AGENDA ITEM	INTENT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Board Meeting	<input type="checkbox"/> New Business	<input type="checkbox"/> Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Committee of the Whole	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Board Strategic Direction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decision
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monitoring or Reporting Items	<input type="checkbox"/> Discussion
	<input type="checkbox"/> Information Items	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Correspondence	

BACKGROUND

If the Board wishes to consider the possible closure or the discontinuance of grades at a school, a school review must be completed. By October 15 in each school year the Director or designate shall make an assessment of all schools in the division based on the school review criteria established in Board Policy 114 and compile a list of all those schools which meet the criteria established by the Board.

At the January 21, 2021, meeting of the Board, the following motion was made:

That be it resolved that the Board of Education plan for an attendance area inclusive of most of Arborfield and Carrot River students in a new K-12 school to be built in Carrot River; and that the school community and municipalities of Arborfield and Carrot River be engaged as stakeholders in the planning and future operation of a new K-12 school to replace the current Arborfield School, Carrot River Elementary School and Carrot River Junior and Senior High School;

And furthermore, that the Board of Education will initiate a school review process to consider closure of Arborfield School no later than October 15 of 2022 with the earliest date for possible closure being July 1 of 2023.

The Board passed a motion at the Regular Meeting of the Board on September 20, 2022 to review the viability of Arborfield School, but the motion made specific reference to section 87.2 of *The Education Act, 1995* when it should have made reference to provisions in *The School Division Administration Regulations*. The matter has returned to the Board to correct its previous motion so that it is clear that the viability of the school is being reviewed pursuant to *The School Division Administration Regulations*.

CURRENT STATUS

Pursuant to Section 55(1)(a)(ii) of *The School Division Administration Regulations*, the criteria for school review are outlined in Board Policy 114 as factors to guide the commencement of a school review.

Board Policy 114: 4: Criteria for School Review

A school's viability may be reviewed if (a) and any one or more of the following criteria apply to the school:

- a. As outlined in Section 56(2)(b) of *The School Division Administration Regulations*, projected enrolment for the school under review for the following school year is less than:
 - (vii) for a school offering kindergarten to Grade 12, 88 pupils.

Arborfield School's enrolment

- as of September 14, 2022 is 65 pupils
- 2023-2024 projection is 67 pupils



NESD Board of Education

- b. If there are projected to be three or more grades, or more than three simultaneous course offerings in a classroom.

Arborfield School– Yes (grade K/1/2, 7/8/9, 10/11/12)

- c. If the projected enrolment would leave fewer than two students in a grade.

Arborfield School – Yes (grade 8, 11, 12)

- d. If the projected enrolment is such that staffing allotment by formula will require consideration of more than triple grading.

In Arborfield School, triple grading is maintained with the application of a 13:1 pupil/FTE formula in comparison to a 23:1 formula in larger schools. Additional support is given through courses provided by the NESD grade 10-12 Online School teachers.

- e. If the structural integrity of the school building presents safety or other concerns that would require a significant capital expenditure. (ie. Facility Condition Index, Independent Engineering Report, Ministry Facility Audit).

Ameresco Canada, a company specializing in facility assessment services, assessed the conditions of all NESD schools in 2015. This fall, an assessment of the condition of the schools at NESD will be completed once again for more current data.

The estimated cost of deferred maintenance for Arborfield School as of 2015 was \$2,737,500

The Board must also notify the school community council and the public of its intention to review the school by October 15 with the criteria that will be used for the review. *The School Division Administration Regulations* outlines the requirements of notice:

- Published in at least one issue of a newspaper having general circulation in the attendance area of the school under review,
- Posted in at least five, widely separated, conspicuous locations in the attendance area of the school under review,
- Posted at Division Office, and
- Posted on the school division's website.

A school review committee must also be established. The Board values collaboration and is committed to a process permitting input from community, staff, and parents to help inform its decision.



NESD Board of Education

RECOMMENDATION

Proposed Board Motion to Amend a Motion passed at the Regular Meeting of the Board on September 20, 2022:

The amendment of the motion from the Regular Meeting of the Board of Education on September 20, 2022 reads (as underscored):

That pursuant to Section 55 of The School Division Administration Regulations, the Board of Education for the North East School Division No. 200 of Saskatchewan intends to review the viability of Grades Kindergarten to Grade 12 at Arborfield School, Arborfield, Saskatchewan in accordance with the review criteria of Board Procedure 114, School Viability Review.

Second Proposed Board Motion:

That pursuant to section 59 of The School Division Administration Regulations the Board establishes a School Review Committee for Arborfield School to consist of the following members:

- a) four individuals appointed by the School Community Council of Arborfield School;
- b) two individuals appointed by the Town of Arborfield
 - i. One of whom is an elected member of the town; and
 - ii. One of whom is not an elected member but is a resident of the town of Arborfield.
- c) excluding the municipality mentioned in clause (b) two individuals jointly appointed by the following municipalities:
 - i. Rural Municipality of Arborfield No. 456, Rural Municipality of Bjorkdale No. 426, Rural Municipality of Connaught No. 457 and Rural Municipality of Moose Range No. 486:
 - * One of whom is an elected member of the council of one of those municipalities; and
 - * One of whom is a resident of one of those municipalities but not an elected member of the council of any municipality

PREPARED BY	DATE	ATTACHMENTS
Stacy Lair, Director of Education	September 28, 2022	Board Policy 114



SCHOOL VIABILITY REVIEW

The Board of Education, in its efforts to provide meaningful learning opportunities that reflect that Board's vision, mission and commitments for student learning, recognizes that it needs to maintain viable schools, classrooms and programs. Consequently, the Board will, from time to time, need to consider grade discontinuance or school closure based on the learning needs of students and based on utilizing resources effectively and efficiently. The Board values collaboration and is committed to a process permitting input from community, staff, and parents to help inform its decision. Guidelines for school viability review will be the criteria used as factors when grade discontinuances or school closures are to be considered.

The school closure and discontinuance of grades guidelines as provided in Sections 54 to 64 of *The School Division Administration Regulations* shall be used as support for the Board.

In the month of September, as part of its Yearly Agenda, the Board of Education will carry out an annual evaluation of the schools in the North East School Division. This evaluation will consider equity of access to effective learning opportunities for all students as reflected in the Board's strategic plan and goals. As required by *The School Division Administration Regulations*, a Board motion to review for closure or grade discontinuance will occur by October 15 in the school year of consideration.

The Board recognizes that, while a school or classroom may not meet the criteria of a viable school, the school or classroom may be allowed to continue to operate if in the opinion of the board the situation is temporary, or if the closure of the school or relocation of the classroom would result in students being picked up by bus at a time that is detrimental to their well-being. In situations where it is not feasible to transport students to another school for their learning opportunities, the Board will endeavor to use technology and/or other resources to ensure effective and efficient learning experiences.

Note: Currently, the school viability process does not apply to a Hutterite Colony School.

SPECIFIC AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY:

1. Request for Review

Any decision concerning school viability will make provision for input and consideration of the wishes and concerns of the parents, students, staff, School Community Council and community within the attendance area under consideration. A school's viability will be reviewed if a written request for a review is received from a school's School Community Council, a group of at least five (5) families, or from the Director of Education.

2. Important Dates

Please refer to *The School Division Administration Regulations* for more information.

- a. As required by legislation, the Board of Education must pass a motion to review a school, adopt the criteria for the review and notify the public of the intention to review the school and the review criteria adopted by the Board on or before October 15 of the year before the year in which a potential closure of the school or discontinuance of grades taught in the school is to come into effect.
- b. On or before November 1 of the year before the year in which the potential closure of the school or discontinuance of grades taught in the school is to come into effect, the Board



- establishes a review committee.
- c. Not later than March 31 of the year in which the potential closure of the school or discontinuance of grades taught in the school is to come into effect, the Board must hold a meeting of the electors of the school community council to advise the electors of the motion passed by the Board.
 - d. By not later than April 30, the Board must pass a motion to close the school or discontinue one or more grades taught at the school.
 - e. By not later than June 30, the Board must notify the public of where to view the implementation plan adopted.

3. Dialogue with the School Community

Dialogue with the staff and School Community Council will take place if anyone or more of the following criteria apply to the school:

- a. If a school's enrolment in the next academic year is projected to be less than 90 for a K – 12 school; or the average number of students is six or less per grade at the elementary/middle years level in grades K – 9.
- b. If there are projected to be three or more grades, or more than three simultaneous course offerings in a classroom.
- c. If the projected enrolment would leave fewer than two students in a grade.
- d. If the projected enrolment is such that staffing allotment by formula will require consideration of more than triple grading.
- e. If the structural integrity of the school building presents safety or other concerns that would require a significant capital expenditure. (i.e. Facility Condition Index, Independent Engineering Report, Ministry Facility Audit)

4. Criteria for School Review

A school's viability may be reviewed if (a) and any one or more of the following criteria apply to the school:

- a. As outlined in Section 56(b) of The School Division Administration Regulations, projected enrolment for the school under review for the following school year is less than:
 - (i) for a school offering kindergarten to Grade 4 only, 25 pupils;
 - (ii) for a school offering kindergarten to Grade 5 only, 30 pupils;
 - (iii) for a school offering kindergarten to Grade 6 only, 38 pupils;
 - (iv) for a school offering kindergarten to Grade 7 only, 44 pupils;
 - (v) for a school offering kindergarten to Grade 8 only, 51 pupils;
 - (vi) for a school offering kindergarten to Grade 9 only, 58 pupils;
 - (vii) for a school offering kindergarten to Grade 12, 88 pupils.
- b. If there are projected to be three or more grades, or more than three simultaneous course offerings in a classroom.
- c. If the projected enrolment would leave fewer than two students in grade.
- d. If the projected enrolment is such that staffing allotment by formula will require consideration of more than triple grading.



- e. If the structural integrity of the school building presents safety or other concerns that would require a significant capital expenditure. (ie. Facility Condition Index, Independent Engineering Report, Ministry Facility Audit).

5. *School Review Data Collection*

As part of the school review process the Director will provide the Board of Education with the following applicable information concerning any school under review:

- a. Projected enrolment in the school under review for at least five years, including number of students in each grade and the impact of grade-size on peer group opportunity and gender balance.
- b. Elective credits available to students in grades 10-12 in the school under review compared to the closest schools not under review.
- c. Pupil Teacher Ratio (PTR), number of grades in combined classrooms and number of instructional groups in one classroom in the school under review and likely changes in these factors in the future.
- d. Capacity of staff and division staff to meet the learning needs of students in the school under review.
- e. Travel time (pick-up and drop-off times, total time spent on bus) of students in the school under review compared to travel time if they were attending the closest school not under review.
- f. Potential efficiencies if students in the school under review were re-located to the nearest school not under review and if staff were re-assigned or their positions were discontinued.
- g. Potential impacts on staff, program, facilities of nearest schools to which students in the school under review would be re-located.
- h. Anticipated future costs of maintaining the school's facilities and physical plant.

6. *School Review Consultation Process*

Unless a request for a review is made of the Board of Education as outlined in this policy the board may complete a school review process of a school meeting the review criteria only **once** during the third year of a four-year mandate of the elected board. The school review process would start with the Board reviewing relevant information as provided by Administration about the school(s).

When the Board of Education makes a motion to review for discontinuation/school closure it will proceed according to relevant provincial legislation.

The specific steps in the relevant legislation will be outlined to the school community at the beginning of the consultation process following the Board's motion to review.

Reference: *The Education Act, 1995, The School Division Administration Regulations.*

Adopted: December 2010

Date last revised: January 2018



North East School Division
402 Main Street
PO Box 6000
Melfort, SK S0E 1A0
P: 306-752-5741; F: 306-752-1933

Notice of Intention to Review grades K-12 at Arborfield School, Arborfield, Saskatchewan

That pursuant to Sections 55 and 57 of *The School Division Administration Regulations*, the Board of Education for the North East School Division No. 200 of Saskatchewan gives notice of its intention to review the viability of Grades Kindergarten to Grade 12 at Arborfield School, Arborfield, Saskatchewan.

And further, per *Board Policy 114*, take notice that the Board's review criteria are if a school's enrolment in the next academic year is projected to be less than 88 for a K – 12.

and any one or more of the following criteria apply to the school:

- a. If there are projected to be three or more grades, or more than three simultaneous course offerings in a classroom
- b. If the projected enrolment would leave fewer than two students in a grade
- c. If the projected enrolment is such that staffing allotment by formula will require consideration of more than triple grading.
- d. If the structural integrity of the school building presents safety or other concerns that would require a significant capital expenditure.

That pursuant to section 59 of *The School Division Administration Regulations* the Board establishes a School Review Committee for Arborfield School to consist of the following members:

- a. four individuals appointed by the School Community Council of Arborfield School but not including a teacher or principal appointed by the School Community Council;
- b. two individuals appointed by the Town of Arborfield
 - i. One of whom is an elected member of the town; and
 - ii. One of whom is not an elected member but is a resident of the town of Arborfield.
- c. excluding the municipality mentioned in clause (b) two individuals jointly appointed by the following municipalities:
 - i. Rural Municipality of Arborfield No. 456, Rural Municipality of Bjorkdale No. 426, Rural Municipality of Connaught No. 457 and Rural Municipality of Moose Range No. 486:
 - One of whom is an elected member of the council of one of those municipalities; and
 - One of whom is a resident of one of those municipalities but not an elected member of the council of any municipality

Dated at Melfort, Saskatchewan this 3rd day of October 2022.

Stacy Lair, Director of Education

Further information can be obtained from:

Triki Zenner "the Information Controller" for the school review process at 1-306-752-5741 and found at the North East School Division website found at www.nesd.ca.